

United States Patent and Trademark Office



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

| APPLICATION NO | D. 1 | FILING DATE | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. | CONFIRMATION NO. |
|--|---------|-------------|-------------------------|---------------------|------------------|
| 09/930,907 | | 08/16/2001 | Raymond L. Higgins | 8677.00 1716 | |
| 26889 | 7590 | 12/21/2004 | | EXAMINER | |
| | EL CHAN | N 7 | BASHORE, ALAIN L | | |
| NCR CORPORATION 1700 SOUTH PATTERSON BLVD | | | | ART UNIT | PAPER NUMBER |
| DAYTON, OH 45479-0001 | | | | 3624 | |
| | | | DATE MAILED: 12/21/2004 | | |

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

| | | | | $V y_i$ | | | | |
|---|---|---|--|---------|--|--|--|--|
| | | Application No. | Applicant(s) | M | | | | |
| | | 09/930,907 | HIGGINS ET AL. | (| | | | |
| •1 | Office Action Summary | Examiner | Art Unit | | | | | |
| | | Alain L. Bashore | 3624 | | | | | |
| Period fo | The MAILING DATE of this communication app or Reply | ears on the cover sheet with the c | orrespondence address | P | | | | |
| THE - Exte after - If the - If NC - Failu Any | ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. Insions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.15 SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. It is period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply of period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period we to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing ed patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). | 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timed within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) day will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from a cause the application to become ABANDONE | nely filed s will be considered timely. the mailing date of this communical D (35 U.S.C. § 133). | tion. | | | | |
| Status | | | | | | | | |
| 1)🖂 | Responsive to communication(s) filed on 23 Se | eptember 2004. | | | | | | |
| <i>'</i> = | | action is non-final. | | | | | | |
| 3) | ,— | | | | | | | |
| • | closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. | | | | | | | |
| Disposit | ion of Claims | | | | | | | |
| 5)□ 6)⊠ 7)□ | Claim(s) 1-10 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw Claim(s) is/are allowed. Claim(s) 1-10 is/are rejected. Claim(s) is/are objected to. Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or | vn from consideration. | | | | | | |
| Applicat | ion Papers | | | • | | | | |
| 9) | The specification is objected to by the Examine | r. | | | | | | |
| 10) | 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner. | | | | | | | |
| | Applicant may not request that any objection to the | drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See | 37 CFR 1.85(a). | | | | | |
| 11) | Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex | | | • | | | | |
| Priority (| under 35 U.S.C. § 119 | | | | | | | |
| a)l | Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents 2. Certified copies of the priority documents 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents application from the International Bureau See the attached detailed Office action for a list | s have been received. s have been received in Application rity documents have been received u (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). | on No ed in this National Stage | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | |
| Attachmen | | | | | | | | |
| | ce of References Cited (PTO-892) ce of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) | 4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da | | | | | | |
| 3) 🔲 Infor | mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) or No(s)/Mail Date | | atent Application (PTO-152) | | | | | |

Application/Control Number: 09/930,907 Page 2

Art Unit: 3624

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

1. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for

all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the

invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

2. Claims 1-2, 4-7, 9-10 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being

unpatentable over Geer in view of Bijnagte and Cahill et al.

Geer discloses a method of operating an electronic check presentment with

image interchange system. There is created a number of electronic bundle files based

upon captured MICR data associated with items. Cash letter files and captured image

data (that is also stored) associated with the items that are processed (col 8, lines 59-

67). The files are taught as being combined to create an image presentment file

suitable for sending from a sending bank to a receiving bank (col 9, lines 1-9).

Geer et al does not explicitly disclose:

creation of first and second temporary lists that are merged to create a merged

list for creating an image presentment file:

generating and storing index data based upon captured MICR data;

creating an electronic bundle file based upon retrieved indexing data and retrieved MICR data;

Bijnagte discloses creation of first and second temporary lists that are merged to create a merged list for creating an image presentment file (col 17, lines 15-29).

It would have been obvious to one with ordinary skill in the art to include creation of first and second temporary lists that are merged to create a merged list for creating an image presentment file because Bijnagte teaches the need of temporary lists for merging purposes of data and image files (col 7, lines 17-36).

Cahill et al discloses generating and storing index data based upon captured MICR data (col 28, lines 47-67; col 29, lines 1-9), and creating an electronic bundle file based upon retrieved indexing data and retrieved MICR data (col 27, lines 30-39).

It would have been obvious to one with ordinary skill in the art to include generating and storing index data based upon captured MICR data because Cahill et al discloses advantages of retrieval (col 29, lines 4-9).

Application/Control Number: 09/930,907 Page 4

Art Unit: 3624

It would have been obvious to one with ordinary skill in the art to include creating an electronic bundle file based upon retrieved indexing data and retrieved MICR data because Cahill et al. teaches advantages of bundles (col 27, lines 35-39).

3. Claims 3 and 8 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Geer in view of Bijnagte and Cahill et al as applied to claims above, and further in view of Jones et al.

Geer, Bijnagte, and Cahill et al do not disclose: retrieving from the image repository any image data that is missing from the merged list.

Jones et al discloses retrieving from the image repository any image data that is missing from the merged list (col 12, lines 40-46).

It would have been obvious to one with ordinary skill in the art to include retrieving from the image repository any image data that is missing from the merged list because Jones et al teaches failure occurrences (col 12, lines 40-46).

Response to Arguments

4. Applicant's arguments filed of record have been fully considered but they are not persuasive.

The rejection of record gives reasons for combining what is not disclosed in the primary reference but is shown in other prior art utilized as part of the art rejection.

Conclusion

5. THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL. Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

6. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Alain L. Bashore whose telephone number is 703-308-1884. The examiner can normally be reached on about 7:00 am to 4:30 pm (Monday thru Thursday).

Application/Control Number: 09/930,907

Art Unit: 3624

Page 6

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Vincent Millin can be reached on 703-308-1065. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Alain L. Bashore
Primary Examiner
Art Unit 3624